Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,327	HOLLAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARCUS R. SMITH	2467
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) MARCUS R. SMITH.	(3)	
(2) <u>RICHARD K. WARTHER</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 February 2011	Time: 11:30 A.M.	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 5, and 8		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner and applicant's representative discuss if the amended limitation has support from the original disclosure and how to amend this case to advance prosecution. However, no agreement was reached at this time.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Marcus R Smith/ Examiner, Art Unit 2467	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)